

# The Fabric of our Households



Anguilla Population and Housing Census 2011

Selected Housing and Household Indicators – Analytical Brief



GOVERNMENT OF ANGUILLA  
Ministry of Finance, Economic Development Investment, Commerce and Tourism  
Anguilla Statistics Department

# Preface

This is the first publication in the series presenting some basic analysis, along with statistical tables of the results of the enumeration which commenced in May 2011 for the Anguilla Population and Housing Census 2011. This publication focuses on the housing stock in Anguilla.

The housing component of the population and housing census questionnaire captured information relating to Anguilla's housing stock and their characteristics; examining the quantity and quality. Such information provides statistics for benchmarking and comparison of the current housing situation.

The housing section questionnaire was divided into three sections:

- Dwelling Characteristics
- Type of Tenure
- Technology and Environment

A separate publication will be prepared on the administration of the census rather than including this information within each of the analytical publications.

These results will be widely available to the general public via the Anguilla government website and can also be requested electronically by emailing [statistics@gov.ai](mailto:statistics@gov.ai).

All statistical publications will be provided to the library for free public use. However, if data users would prefer a printed version of any part of a statistical publication this can be provided at the approved fee.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank all those who assisted in the preparation of this publication.

The Anguilla Statistics Department (ASD) welcomes feedback on this and other statistical publications. Please contact the ASD should you have any requests for additional tables which may not appear within this publication although the requisite variables were collected. Please contact the ASD at 1-264-497-5731 or fax 1-264-497-3986.

Lori-Rae Alleyne-Franklin  
Chief Statistician  
2015

*Produced by*

Anguilla Statistics Department  
Government of Anguilla  
Old Court House Building  
P. O. Box 60  
The Valley  
Anguilla – AI 2640

Office number 1-264-497-5731

Fax number 1-264-497-3986

Website:

[www.gov.ai/statistics](http://www.gov.ai/statistics)

© Government of Anguilla

May 2015

Rev 1.

# Table of Contents

List of Abbreviations .....	7
Symbols and Definitions .....	7
Introduction .....	8
Highlights of Housing in Anguilla from the Census 2011 .....	9
Households by Districts .....	10
Types of Dwellings .....	12
Dwellings and Persons for Censuses 1974 - 2011.....	13
Insured Dwellings.....	15
Household Tenure.....	17
Year Completed.....	19
Main Material of Outer Walls .....	20
Features of Roofs .....	22
Roof Materials of Dwellings .....	22
Water Supply for Households .....	23
Household Use Supply .....	23
Drinking Water Supply .....	24
Energy Supply for Households .....	25
Energy in Household .....	25
Cooking Fuel in Household .....	27
Number of Rooms .....	28
Characteristics of Rooms .....	29
Kitchen .....	29
Toilet Facilities .....	29
Bathing Facilities .....	30
Garbage Disposal.....	31
Ownership of Consumer Durables .....	32
Vehicle Ownership .....	33
Household Internet Access.....	33
References.....	34

# List of Tables

Table 1 - Number of Households for Censuses 1984 - 2011 .....	10
Table 2 - Number of Households, per District for Censuses 2001 & 2011 .....	11
Table 3 - Dwelling Unit, by Type and District, 2011 .....	12
Table 4 - Dwellings and Persons for Censuses 1974 - 2011 .....	13
Table 5 - Proportion of Dwellings Insured, by District, 2011 .....	15
Table 6 – Dwellings Contents Insured, by Type of Dwelling, 2011 .....	16
Table 7 - Percentage of Dwelling Contents, by Type of Dwelling, 2011 .....	16
Table 8 - Insured Contents by District, 2011.....	17
Table 9 - Household Tenure by District, 2011.....	18
Table 10 - Household Tenure by Dwelling Type, 2011 .....	18
Table 11 - Household Tenure by Land Tenure, 2011 .....	18
Table 12 - Year of Completion of Dwellings, by District, 2011 .....	19
Table 13 - Main Material of Outer Wall, by District, 2011.....	20
Table 14 - Main Material of Outer Wall, 2001 & 2011 .....	21
Table 15 - Main Material of Outer Wall, by Type of Dwelling, 2011 .....	21
Table 16 - Type of Roof, by District, 2011 .....	22
Table 17 - Type of Roof, by Dwelling Type, 2011 .....	22
Table 18 - Main Source of Water Supply to Households, 2001 & 2011 .....	23
Table 19 - Main Source of Water Supply to Households, by District, 2011 .....	24
Table 20 - Source of Drinking Water Supply, 2011 .....	24
Table 21 - Household Source of Lighting, 2001 & 2011 .....	25
Table 22 - Households by Main Lighting, by District, 2011 .....	26
Table 23 - Households Main Source of Cooking Fuel, 2001 & 2011 .....	27
Table 24 - Households Main Source of Cooking Fuel, by District, 2011 .....	27
Table 25 - Number of Rooms to Number of Bedrooms, 2011.....	28
Table 26 - Location of Kitchen Facilities, 2011 .....	29
Table 27 - Type of Kitchen Facilities, 2011 .....	29
Table 28 - Location of Kitchen Facilities, by Type of Dwelling, 2011 .....	29
Table 29 - Toilet Facilities to Households, by District, 2011.....	30
Table 30 - Type Household Toilet Facilities, 2011 .....	30
Table 31 - Location of Bathing Facilities, by Type of Facility, 2011 .....	30
Table 32 - Location of Bathing Facilities, 2001 & 2011.....	31
Table 33 - Location of Bathing Facilities, by District, 2011 .....	31
Table 34 - Method of Garbage Disposal, 2011 .....	31
Table 35 - Percentage of Household with Selected Durables, 1992, 2001 & 2011.....	32
Table 36 - Number and type of Vehicles, Owned by Households, 2011 .....	33
Table 37 - Access to Internet, by Household, 2011 .....	33

# List of Figures

Figure 1 - Proportion of Total Households per District, 1992, 2001 and 2011 .....	11
Figure 2 - Average Household Size, per District - 2011 .....	14
Figure 3- Completion of Dwellings, Prior to 1970 - 2011 .....	19

## List of Abbreviations

Below is a list of all the abbreviations used within this document in alphabetical order.

ANGLEC	Anguilla Electricity Company
AP&HC	Anguilla Population and Housing Census
ASD	Anguilla Statistics Department
CTR	Cathode Ray Tube
DVD	Digital Video Disc
ED	Enumeration District
HIV/AIDS	Human Immunodeficiency Virus/ Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
LPG	Liquefied Petroleum Gas
MGD	Millennium Development Goals
TV	Television
UNHABITAT	United Nations Human Settlements Programme
VR	Visitation Record

## Symbols and Definitions

Below is a list of all symbols used within the document.

-	zero
n.a.	not applicable
d.k.	do not know
incl.	including
hhld.	household

# HOUSING:

## The Fabric of our Households

### Introduction

The Millennium Declaration was adopted by Member States of the United Nations in September 2000. It contains eight (8) Millennium Development Goals (MDGs), ranging from eradicating extreme poverty to combating HIV/AIDS, malaria and other diseases. The MDGs detailed 18 specific development targets, each of which has a target figure, a time frame, and indicators designed to monitor the extent to which the target has been achieved.

One of these goals speaks to environmental sustainability and by extension seeks to measure a number of aspects that indicate the quality of housing and by extension the welfare of its inhabitants.

The Oxford dictionary defines housing as shelter, lodging, homes, accommodation or protection. Improving the outcomes of individuals is closely linked to the level of housing and the facilities therein.

The development of effective and innovative policies as they relate to housing would only be successful if policymakers understand the critical nature of housing in a society.

To understand the social impact of housing to its inhabitants, the idea of housing in the social developmental context as four walls and a roof must be dispelled. A wider view of housing to include sufficient space, access to sanitation and improved drinking water, security of tenure as well as durability of housing must be examined.

According to UNHABITAT, studies have shown that there is a positive impact of housing on individuals. Overcrowding, poor ventilation and unsanitary living conditions all have an impact on occupants' health and in turn their outcomes.

Housing in Anguilla is one of the factors that are seldom examined in relation to individual achievement and socio economic well-being.

The Anguilla Statistics Department as outlined under its mandate in Section 2 of the Statistics Act took Anguilla's sixth (6th) Population and Housing Census (AP&HC) 2011 having previously conducted censuses in 1960, 1974, 1984 1992 and 2001. The enumeration phases of the census began in May 2011.

A census is the process used to acquire information about every member of a given population. This term is mostly used in connection with national 'population and door to door censuses' (to be taken every 10 years according to United Nations recommendations). Most Caribbean countries conduct censuses every 10 years, with the exception of Bermuda, that conduct a census every 5 years.

The questionnaires used in the collection of data for the AP&HC 2011 were, a Household Questionnaire and an Individual Questionnaire.

This brief will focus mainly on the characteristics of the housing stock of dwellings enumerated; information mainly gathered through the Household Questionnaire.

The following 2011 Census variables were analysed about the housing stock in Anguilla:

- Housing inventory estimate
- Type of dwelling
- Dwelling & contents insured
- Tenure of dwelling
- Land tenure on which dwelling sits
- Other characteristics of occupied dwellings

The information will be provided at geographical levels.



This analysis is intended to provide information for planners, policymakers and decision makers for the purposes of planning, developing and improving the residents' quality of life. This information would provide individuals with data, if used, to plan better and provide solutions to existing challenges. Thus, for example, when planning mitigation or relief efforts, the

characteristics of Anguilla's building stock would have to be considered or the plans may not reflect reality.

Such information should also be useful to monitoring and evaluation the achievement of the progress on the MDG and the Post 2015 agenda as it relates to Anguilla.

## The Household Questionnaire

### The Process

Each household was required to provide information to the enumerator for the completion of this questionnaire. The household questionnaire provided for the collection of relationship information of household members, characteristics of the dwelling and migration of the household members.

Some of the responses, based on the information provided have been recoded to fit within the categories given.

### The Findings

The findings in this report are based on self-reporting, where the respondent provided the interviewer with the information as per questions asked.

Where possible, comparisons with previous census findings are made.

## Highlights of Housing in Anguilla from the Census 2011

- A total of 4,935 households were enumerated.
- An increase of 1,148 households over the period 2001 – 2011; an annual average increase of 115 households per annum.
- Duplex houses/apartments accounted for the greatest increase of the type of dwelling over the 2001 recorded figures, 375.2%.
- Undivided private house recorded the greatest proportion of type of dwelling, 61.5%.
- Just fewer than 5.0% of households in Anguilla indicated that their dwelling was insured.
- The majority (58.9%) of the dwellings were owned; a decline from the 67.1% recorded in 2001.
- Concrete and concrete blocks have been the predominant material for the outer walls of houses in Anguilla for over a decade. This option accounted for 95.9%. Wood and concrete walls being a very distant second.
- 39.8% of the householders indicated that the dwelling was completed in the 2000s.
- The majority of house roofs in Anguilla were noted to be flat. A review of the 4,935 households showed that 65.5% indicated that the roof on their dwelling unit was flat.
- The variety and quantity of the ownership of household durables increased when compared with the previous censuses.

## Households by Districts

Anguilla's 2011 Population and Housing Census collected detailed information from 4,935 households which suggests that an additional 1,148 households were established between 2001 and 2011.

The average annual growth over the last 10 years from 2001 – 2011 was 3.0%; an increase, at a declining rate.

Most of these households were established in the district of Stoney Ground (49.6%) and George Hill (47.1%) while Sandy Ground (-10.9%) and North Hill (-2.7%) saw reductions in the number of households.

South Hill (12.7%) remained the district with the largest proportion of households, 629, slightly less than its (12.9%) proportion in 2001.

Three (3) of the fourteen (14) districts registered just over 10.0% (10.0% -10.9%) of the proportion of the number of households in Anguilla. They were, in order of size, North Side (10.9%), Stoney Ground (10.7%) and The Valley (10.1%).

North Side registered 538 households out of the total 4,935, increasing from the 10.4% contribution in 2001. Stoney Ground and The Valley registered 528 and 496 respectively, with Stoney Ground increasing from the 9.3% contributed in 2001 while The Valley declined from the 10.2% in 2001.


Five (5) districts registered 5.0% or less in their contribution to the total number of households. Sandy Ground and North Hill districts registered 1.8% and 2.9% respectively, falling from their contribution of 2.7% and 3.9% in 2001 respectively.

Table 1 - Number of Households for Censuses 1984 - 2011

District	Households			
	1984	1992	2001	2011
West End including Long Bay (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	119	165	240	329
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	261	325	489	629
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	132	225	246	297
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	72	79	101	90
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	84	123	146	142
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	144	213	278	409
The Valley including Upper & South Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	465	215	385	496
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	n.a.	190	392	538
The Quarter including Rey Hill (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	108	212	327	415
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	n.a.	274	353	528
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	60	96	173	238
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	116	113	198	245
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	118	182	187	223
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	161	207	272	356
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,840</b>	<b>2,619</b>	<b>3,787</b>	<b>4,935</b>
<b>Average Annual Growth Rate</b>		<b>5.3%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>

## Table 2 - Number of Households, per District for Censuses 2001 & 2011

District	2001		2011		Change 2011/2001	
	No. of Households	Proportion of the total	No. of Households	Proportion of the total	Absolute change	Annual Growth Rate %
West End including Long Bay (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	240	6.3%	329	6.7%	89	3.7%
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	489	12.9%	629	12.7%	140	2.9%
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	246	6.5%	297	6.0%	51	2.1%
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	101	2.7%	90	1.8%	-11	-1.1%
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	146	3.9%	142	2.9%	-4	-0.3%
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	278	7.3%	409	8.3%	131	4.7%
The Valley including Upper & South Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	385	10.2%	496	10.1%	111	2.9%
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	392	10.4%	538	10.9%	146	3.7%
The Quarter including Rey Hill (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	327	8.6%	415	8.4%	88	2.7%
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	353	9.3%	528	10.7%	175	5.0%
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	173	4.6%	238	4.8%	65	3.8%
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	198	5.2%	245	5.0%	47	2.4%
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	187	4.9%	223	4.5%	36	1.9%
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	272	7.2%	356	7.2%	84	3.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,787</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,148</b>	<b>3.0%</b>



**Figure 1 - Proportion of Total Households per District, 1992, 2001 and 2011**

## Types of Dwellings

Undivided private houses; 3,037, were the most reported type of dwelling unit in Anguilla, accounting for 61.5% of the total number of dwelling units.

This was a decline when compared with the 2001 reported 72.2%. South Hill had the most of these types of dwellings with 370 (12.2%) followed, by Stoney Ground with 343 (11.3%), while Sandy Ground (1.8%), followed by North Hill (3.4%) had the least.

Duplex houses/apartments accounted for the greatest increase by type of dwelling followed by flat/apartments. In 2001 the former totaled 125 and in 2011 totaled 594; a 375.2% increase and the latter totaled 571 in 2001 and 966 in 2011; a 69.2% increase.

The district of 'The Quarter' had the greatest percentage of combined dwellings and businesses with 25.9%, followed by South Hill with 14.3%.

Households living in 'part of a private house' was most prevalent in the district of Stoney Ground (25.3%) followed by North Side (13.8%). This occurrence was seen least in the district of Sandy Hill and East End.

Most of the flat/apartments (17.9%) were registered in The Valley with Sandy Ground registering the least of this type of dwelling.

Additionally, 34.9% of the Valley's dwellings types are flat/apartments

Table 3 - Dwelling Unit, by Type and District, 2011

District	Undivided private house	Part of private house	Duplex apartment	Flat/ apartment	Combined dwelling & business	Other & Not Stated	Total
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	225	19	38	42	5	0	329
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	370	18	74	146	16	5	629
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	196	7	38	52	4	0	297
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	55	5	6	15	9	0	90
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	102	7	10	20	1	2	142
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	244	8	67	79	11	0	409
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	261	7	47	173	8	0	496
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	292	30	66	142	7	1	538
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	225	22	46	93	29	0	415
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	343	55	60	58	12	0	528
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	161	9	37	28	3	0	238
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	170	2	40	31	2	0	245
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	156	3	23	39	2	0	223
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	237	25	42	48	3	1	356
<b>Total 2011</b>	<b>3,037</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>594</b>	<b>966</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4,935</b>
<b>% Proportion</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>12.0%</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Total 2001</b>	<b>2,710</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>571</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3,730</b>
<b>% change 2011/2001</b>	<b>12.1%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>375.2%</b>	<b>69.2%</b>	<b>-10.4%</b>	<b>28.6%</b>	<b>32.3%</b>

# Dwellings and Persons for Censuses 1974 - 2011

Table 4 - Dwellings and Persons for Censuses 1974 - 2011

District	1974		1984		1992		2001		2011	
	Persons	Households	Persons	Households	Persons	Households	Persons	Households	Persons	
West End including Long Bay (EDs: 1-6)	479	119	402	165	611	240	736	329	884	
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	774	261	961	325	1,102	489	1495	629	1,689	
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	501	132	463	225	802	246	779	297	825	
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	242	72	224	79	247	101	274	90	252	
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	313	84	288	123	410	146	439	142	444	
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	304	144	492	213	635	278	791	409	1,124	
The Valley including Upper Valley		465	1,709	215	795	385	1,169	496	1,298	
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	1,048	n.a.	n.a.	190	667	392	1,195	538	1,514	
The Quarter including Rey Hill	742	108	424	212	654	327	978	415	1,079	
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	713	n.a.	n.a.	274	949	353	1,133	528	1,577	
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)		60	222	96	304	173	546	238	629	
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	314	116	376	113	364	198	557	245	633	
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	476	118	420	182	672	187	614	223	661	
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	613	161	672	207	744	272	855	356	963	
<b>Total</b>	<b>6,519</b>	<b>1,840</b>	<b>6,653</b>	<b>2,619</b>	<b>8,960</b>	<b>3,787</b>	<b>11,561</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>13,572</b>	
<b>Average Annual Growth</b>			<b>0.2%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>1.7%</b>	


Note: In 1992 there were 4 people included in the total living on the Offshore Cays. Person data updated since the release of the VR data.


The average household size in Anguilla according to the most recent population and housing census data has fallen, when compared to the figures recorded in the 2001 census.

In 2001, the average household size was calculated at 3.05 persons per household; however, figures from the 2011 census data indicate that the average household size has fallen to 2.75 persons per household. In physical terms the number of persons per household has moved from 3 to 2 persons.

### What is a dwelling unit?

A dwelling unit is any building or separate and independent part of a building in which a person or group of persons is living at the reference time for enumeration. It must have direct access from the street or common landing, staircase, passage or gallery where occupants can enter or leave without passing through anybody else's living quarters.





**Figure 2 - Average Household Size, per District - 2011**

Seven (7) of the fourteen (14) districts recorded an average household size below the national average of 2.75 and conversely seven (7) districts recorded an average above the national average.

The district of Sandy Hill and The Quarter incl. Rey Hill recorded the lowest average household size of 2.58 and 2.60 persons per household respectively. On the contrary, the district of North Hill recorded the highest average household size of 3.13.

George Hill with a 2.75 persons per household size was the district with the exact average household size to that of the national average.

The two most westerly districts; West End and South Hill both registered average household sizes below the national average of 2.75 with 2.69.

The most easterly district, Island Harbour registered an average household size below the national average of 2.71, however East End registered a 2.96 average; 0.21 persons over the national average.

District	2011		
	Households	Persons	Persons per hhld.
West End including Long Bay (EDs: 1-6)	329	884	2.69
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	629	1,689	2.69
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	297	825	2.78
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	90	252	2.80
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	142	444	3.13
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	409	1,124	2.75
The Valley including Upper Valley	496	1,298	2.62
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	538	1,514	2.81
The Quarter including Rey Hill	415	1,079	2.60
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	528	1,577	2.99
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	238	629	2.64
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	245	633	2.58
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	223	661	2.96
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	356	963	2.71
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>13,572</b>	<b>2.75</b>

## Insured Dwellings

Insurance can be defined as the transfer of the risk of a loss, from one entity to another in exchange for payment.

Property insurance is the purchase of 'protection' against any damage to home and or its contents, depending on which type is purchased. This protection can be against:

- Fire
- Burglary
- Hurricane
- Earthquake
- Lightning and Explosion
- Storm and Flood

The majority (90.7%) of dwellings within Anguilla are not insured as indicated by respondents who provided

data during the enumeration process of the 2011 Anguilla population and housing census.

Just under 5.0% of households in Anguilla indicated that their dwelling was insured with the largest proportion of them being in the district of West End (24.3%) as shown in Table 5 - Proportion of Dwellings Insured, by District, 2011.

On the contrary, the insurance of household contents was higher than the number of dwellings whose households indicated that the dwellings were insured.

The total number of households indicating that their contents were insured was 8.0%, as shown in Table 8 - Insured Contents by District, 2011 with 81.5% responding in the negative when asked the question, 'Are the contents of your dwelling insured?'

Table 5 - Proportion of Dwellings Insured, by District, 2011

District	Dwelling			Total
	Insured	Not Insured	Not Stated	
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	24.3%	64.1%	11.6%	100.0%
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	16.7%	65.7%	17.6%	100.0%
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	15.2%	69.7%	15.2%	100.0%
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	16.7%	81.1%	2.2%	100.0%
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	14.8%	81.0%	4.2%	100.0%
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	27.4%	48.4%	24.2%	100.0%
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	16.3%	42.7%	40.9%	100.0%
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	19.0%	45.5%	35.5%	100.0%
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	18.8%	63.6%	17.6%	100.0%
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	21.2%	63.1%	15.7%	100.0%
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	29.0%	48.3%	22.7%	100.0%
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	25.3%	68.6%	6.1%	100.0%
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	20.2%	63.2%	16.6%	100.0%
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	14.6%	78.9%	6.5%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>19.8%</b>	<b>60.3%</b>	<b>19.9%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Most (71.4%) of the contents insured were in dwellings described as undivided private houses, however, this accounted for 9.3% of the undivided private houses. This was followed by duplex house/apartment dwellings of which 12.2% of these dwellings had insured contents.

The households that indicated whether or not the contents were insured or not insured numbered 4,417,

of which 91.1% indicated that their dwelling contents was not insured. Fewer than 10.0% of the respondent households did not provide a response for this question.

Some dwellings were classified as 'combined dwelling & business'; of these dwelling types, 9.8% of the contents therein were insured.

**Table 6 – Dwellings Contents Insured, by Type of Dwelling, 2011**

Dwelling Type	Contents			Total
	Insured	Not Insured	Not Stated	
Undivided private house	282	2,614	141	3,037
Part of private house	13	178	26	217
Duplex house/apartment	48	442	104	594
Flat/ apartment	35	690	241	966
Combined dwelling & business	11	96	5	112
Other & Not Stated	6	2	1	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>395</b>	<b>4,022</b>	<b>518</b>	<b>4,935</b>

**Table 7 - Percentage of Dwelling Contents, by Type of Dwelling, 2011**

Dwelling Type	Contents		
	Insured	Not Insured	Not Stated
Undivided private house	71.4%	65.0%	61.5%
Part of private house	3.3%	4.4%	4.4%
Duplex house/apartment	12.2%	11.0%	12.0%
Flat/ apartment	8.9%	17.2%	19.6%
Combined dwelling & business	2.8%	2.4%	2.3%
Other & Not Stated	1.5%	0.0%	0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



The district of the Sandy Hill was the district where 14.3% of the households indicated that their contents were insured. This was the largest proportion throughout the districts.

The Farrington, West End, Sandy Ground and George Hill were districts where over 10.0% of the households

indicated that the contents of the dwelling were insured.

The national percentage of households with their contents insured stood at 8.0%.

**Table 8 - Insured Contents by District, 2011**

District	Insured	Not Insured	Not Stated	Total
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	12.2%	83.9%	4.0%	100.0%
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	7.2%	83.5%	9.4%	100.0%
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	5.1%	88.6%	6.4%	100.0%
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	11.1%	86.7%	2.2%	100.0%
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	7.0%	90.1%	2.8%	100.0%
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	11.5%	75.8%	12.7%	100.0%
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	6.7%	77.8%	15.5%	100.0%
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	8.4%	68.4%	23.2%	100.0%
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	5.5%	87.5%	7.0%	100.0%
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	6.3%	83.7%	10.0%	100.0%
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	12.6%	66.4%	21.0%	100.0%
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	14.3%	82.0%	3.7%	100.0%
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	5.4%	90.1%	4.5%	100.0%
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	4.8%	90.7%	4.5%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>81.5%</b>	<b>10.5%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## Household Tenure

Tenure in this context describes the conditions under which the building is being occupied; it seeks to indicate the occupancy status of the dwelling units; whether owned or rented.

The results of the 2011 census indicate that the majority (58.9%) of the dwellings are owned; a decline from the 67.1% recorded in 2001. Of the 58.9%, 71.1% of the householders indicated that they owned their house outright – without a mortgage.

The district of South Hill recorded the highest proportion (12.5%) of dwellings that were owned by the occupant.

Conversely, Sandy Ground recorded the lowest proportion of owned properties. These results are shown in Table 9. Most of the owned households 85.6% were recorded as undivided private houses, followed by duplex apartment type of housing with 6.0%.

While houses had the greater tendency to be owned, as is to be expected, flat/apartments had the highest tendency to be rented, with 46.7% of the rented dwellings being categorised as flat/apartments, followed by individual private house with 23.4%

Table 9 - Household Tenure by District, 2011

District	Household Tenure					Total
	Owned	Rented	Rent Free	Other	Not Stated	
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	224	87	13	5	0	329
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	363	232	29	4	1	629
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	192	90	12	2	1	297
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	60	25	2	3	0	90
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	102	34	4	2	0	142
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	227	163	17	1	1	409
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	245	229	15	4	3	496
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	290	214	28	3	3	538
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	212	189	12	2	0	415
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	311	181	32	3	1	528
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	154	68	11	1	4	238
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	161	64	16	4	0	245
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	139	71	13	0	0	223
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	229	99	26	0	2	356
<b>Total 2011</b>	<b>2,909</b>	<b>1,746</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4,935</b>
<b>% Proportion of total household</b>	<b>58.9%</b>	<b>35.4%</b>	<b>4.7%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<b>Total 2001</b>	<b>2,504</b>	<b>1,120</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>3,730</b>
<b>% chg 2011/2001</b>	<b>16.2%</b>	<b>55.9%</b>	<b>170.6%</b>	<b>88.9%</b>	<b>433.3%</b>	<b>32.3%</b>

Table 10 - Household Tenure by Dwelling Type, 2011

Dwelling Type	Household Tenure					Total
	Owned	Rented	Rent Free	Other	Not Stated	
Undivided private house	2,489	409	108	24	7	3,037
Part of private house	91	96	24	1	5	217
Duplex apartment	174	381	35	4	0	594
Flat/ apartment	84	816	59	4	3	966
Combined dwelling & business	64	43	4	1	0	112
Other & Not Stated	7	1	0	0	1	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,909</b>	<b>1,746</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4,935</b>

The majority (96.3%) of the 2,800 dwellings that were described as owned by households also indicated that the land upon which the dwelling sits was also owned.

This was an increase of 18.7% over that of the last census, when 94.2% of the 2,359 households indicated such.

Table 11 - Household Tenure by Land Tenure, 2011

Land Tenure	Household Tenure					Total
	Owned	Rented	Rent Free	Other	Not Stated	
Owned/freehold	2,800	558	101	13	9	3,481
Rented	3	690	1	1	0	695
Rent Free	34	60	98	3	0	195
Leasehold	11	7	1	0	0	19
Other	52	19	9	13	0	93
Not Stated	9	412	20	4	7	452
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,909</b>	<b>1,746</b>	<b>230</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>4,935</b>

## Year Completed

A little over a quarter (25.5%) of the householders who responded to this question indicated that the dwelling was completed prior to the 1980s; 12.0% in the 1980s; 17.8% in the 1990s and 39.8% in 2000s.

Over the period 2000 – 2009 South Hill was the district in which the majority of the dwellings were completed.

The least development over 2000 – 2009 occurred in Sandy Ground.

### When is a dwelling completed?

The exact date of construction is being sought. Where buildings have been constructed at different times, the year of construction should refer to the major part.

Table 12 - Year of Completion of Dwellings, by District, 2011

District	Prior to 1980	1980-89	1990-99	2000-05	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Not Stated & DK	Total
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	59	8	18	52	31	36	21	22	3	3	76	329
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	84	10	32	113	80	48	35	28	10	10	179	629
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	47	8	13	49	40	17	17	14	8	5	79	297
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	50	15	10	6	0	0	2	0	4	0	3	90
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	36	24	39	12	1	2	4	1	6	0	17	142
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	33	39	60	43	8	6	17	14	11	5	173	409
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	82	43	71	47	9	10	14	15	6	3	196	496
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	95	40	73	58	7	16	20	12	9	6	202	538
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	103	46	52	46	7	10	8	10	15	7	111	415
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	90	39	80	68	8	18	25	35	17	5	143	528
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	41	34	47	32	7	4	10	15	6	0	42	238
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	58	32	55	26	2	3	8	5	11	4	41	245
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	45	33	31	26	3	2	4	9	6	1	63	223
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	86	56	53	63	4	13	10	13	8	7	43	356
<b>Total</b>	<b>909</b>	<b>427</b>	<b>634</b>	<b>641</b>	<b>207</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>195</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>1,368</b>	<b>4,935</b>

Of the 4,935 households, 1,368 households did not indicate the year the dwelling was completed. This may have been because they did not know or refused to provide the information. Outside of these dwellings, most (909) of the dwellings in Anguilla were completed prior to the 1980.

There was decline in the number of dwellings completed over the period 2002 – 2004, with the lowest number of dwellings during that period being completed in 2003. However, there was an upturn in 2004. This continued until 2006 when it peaked and leveled off up to 2009; with slight fluctuations during the period.

There was a sharp decline in the number of dwellings completed in 2010 and 2011; from 193 in 2009 to 120 and 56 respectively.




Figure 3 - Completion of Dwellings, Prior to 1970 - 2011

## Main Material of Outer Walls

The outer walls of a house have many functions. Outer walls define the shape of a house and they support the floors, inner walls and roof.

Concrete and concrete blocks have been the predominant material for the outer walls of houses in Anguilla for over a decade, with wood and concrete walls being a very distant second.

Throughout Anguilla the highest recorded number of household buildings with the main material for the outer walls being constructed of concrete/concrete blocks was found in the district of South Hill with a proportion of 12.7%.

The districts with the highest proportion of the household buildings whose outer walls were constructed from concrete or concrete blocks were George Hill and North Side with 98.3%.

On the converse, the district of Sandy Ground recorded the lowest proportion of households' buildings (88.9%) whose outer walls were constructed from concrete or concrete blocks. Similarly, this same district recorded the highest proportion of households' buildings whose outer walls were constructed from wood and or other materials.

**Table 13 - Main Material of Outer Wall, by District, 2011**

District	Concrete or Concrete Blocks	Stone & Concrete	Wood Only	Wood & Concrete	Brick	Makeshift	Other	Not Stated	Total
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	313	1	9	6	0	0	0	0	329
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	603	0	8	17	0	0	0	1	629
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	289	0	4	3	0	1	0	0	297
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	80	0	6	3	0	0	1	0	90
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	129	1	2	10	0	0	0	0	142
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	402	1	1	4	0	1	0	0	409
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	471	2	11	10	1	0	0	1	496
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	529	0	3	4	0	0	1	1	538
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	392	3	8	11	0	0	0	1	415
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	500	4	16	8	0	0	0	0	528
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	226	0	5	6	0	0	1	0	238
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	237	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	245
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	217	0	2	3	0	0	0	1	223
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	347	2	4	1	0	0	1	1	356
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,735</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4,935</b>

As shown in Table 13 - Main Material of Outer Wall, by District, 2011, a total of 4,735 housing units, 95.9% of the total number had outer walls constructed mainly of concrete/ concrete blocks. Just over 3.0% of the housing units had outer walls which were constructed from wood and concrete or wood only.

Comparisons with 2001 data show an 18.8% decline in the proportion of structures with the main material of the outer walls being wood only.

All the categories from which the main outer walls of the housing units were constructed except concrete or concrete blocks declined over the 2001 numbers. An increase of 36.0% was registered for 2011 in the number of housing units whose outer walls were made of concrete or concrete blocks.

Table 14 - Main Material of Outer Wall, 2001 & 2011

Material of the Outer Walls	2001		2011		Change 2011/2001	
	No. of Housing Units	Proportion of Total	No. of Housing Units	Proportion of Total	Absolute change	% change
Concrete or Concrete Blocks	3,482	93.4%	4,735	95.9%	1,253	36.0%
Stone & Concrete	20	0.5%	14	0.3%	-6	-30.0%
Wood Only	101	2.7%	82	1.7%	-19	-18.8%
Wood & Concrete	98	2.6%	91	1.8%	-7	-7.1%
Other	8	0.2%	7	0.1%	-1	-12.5%
Not Stated	21	0.6%	6	0.1%	-15	-71.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,730</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1,205</b>	<b>32.3%</b>

As shown in Table 15 - Main Material of Outer Wall, by Type of Dwelling, 2011, from the total type of dwelling units, duplex apartments and flat/apartments each registered the largest proportion (97.1%) of houses in that category with the main outer walls constructed from concrete or concrete blocks

Concrete or concrete blocks as the main material for the outer walls accounted for 95.9% of the types of dwellings throughout the island.

Table 15 - Main Material of Outer Wall, by Type of Dwelling, 2011

Type of dwelling	Material of the Outer Walls						Total
	Concrete or Concrete Blocks	Stone & Concrete	Wood Only	Wood & Concrete	Brick	Not Stated	
Undivided private house	2,899	6	62	64	5	1	3,037
Part of private house	209	2	2	4	0	0	217
Duplex apartment	577	1	4	9	2	1	594
Flat/ apartment	938	5	10	10	0	3	966
Combined dwelling & business	104	0	4	4	0	0	112
Other & Not Stated	8	0	0	0	0	1	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,735</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4,935</b>

# Features of Roofs

## Roof Materials of Dwellings

A roof is an important component of a building and can significantly alter the building's appearance and or value. A roof protects the contents and occupants of the building from the elements.

It is therefore necessary that buildings have quality roofs. Quality does not only speak to the cost of the roofing materials but also the labour.

Flat roofing is usually more affordable when compared with the cost of pitched roofs and can usually be replaced in a single day, all things being equal.

A pitched roof provides more structure and stability than a flat roof and allows for excellent drainage. Flat roofs are also characteristic of modern design.

The majority of house roofs in Anguilla were noted to be flat. A review of the 4,935 households showed that 65.5% of those responding indicated that the roof on their dwelling unit was flat.

The type of dwellings with flat roofs revealed that those dwellings, 'part of private house' had the greater proportion of flat roofs (70.5%) followed by 'flats/apartments' with (68.0%).

**Table 16 - Type of Roof, by District, 2011**

District	Type of Roof			Total
	Pitched	Flat	Not Stated	
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	98	231	0	329
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	189	425	15	629
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	64	228	5	297
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	35	51	4	90
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	36	100	6	142
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	131	273	5	409
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	217	263	16	496
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	175	358	5	538
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	134	278	3	415
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	146	381	1	528
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	88	149	1	238
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	97	147	1	245
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	87	128	8	223
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	128	219	9	356
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,625</b>	<b>3,231</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>4,935</b>

**Table 17 - Type of Roof, by Dwelling Type, 2011**

Type of Dwelling	Type of Roof			Total
	Pitched	Flat	Not Stated	
Undivided private household	1,016	1,987	34	3,037
Part of private house	61	153	3	217
Duplex apartment	214	369	11	594
Flat/Apartment	283	657	26	966
Combined dwelling & business	48	62	2	112
Other & Not Stated	3	3	3	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,625</b>	<b>3,231</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>4,935</b>

# Water Supply for Households

## Household Use Supply

Table 18 - Main Source of Water Supply to Households, 2001 & 2011

Main water supply	2001		2011		Change 2011/2001	
	No. of Housing Units	Proportion of Total	No. of Housing Units	Proportion of Total	Absolute change	% change
Cistern, piped into dwelling	1,472	39.5%	3,605	73.1%	2,133	144.9%
Cistern, not piped into dwelling	1,251	33.5%	284	5.8%	-967	-77.3%
Public, piped into dwelling	493	13.2%	757	15.3%	264	53.6%
Public, piped into yard	203	5.4%	86	1.7%	-117	-57.6%
Public stand pipe	59	1.6%	12	0.2%	-47	-79.7%
Public well /tank	26	0.7%	33	0.7%	7	26.9%
Bottled water	n.a.	0.0%	12	0.2%	n.a.	n.a.
Other	88	2.4%	134	2.7%	46	52.3%
Not Stated	138	3.7%	12	0.2%	-126	-91.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,730</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,205</b>	<b>32.3%</b>

An analysis of the data in Table 18 - Main Source of Water Supply to Households, 2001 & 2011 showed that access to water piped into the dwelling increased. Water piped into the dwelling from a cistern accounted for 73.1% of the households while 15.3% used the public water piped into dwelling as their main source of household water.

Public stand pipes, public well/tank, bottled water and other sources accounted for 3.9% of the households', source of water.

The householders in the district of North Hill seemed to be less inclined to use public water piped into the dwelling with 2.4% using this source. However, householders in The Quarter followed by The Valley

use the public water piped into the dwelling the most with proportions of 14.7% and 13.5% respectively.

The householders in the district of South Hill (11.8%) followed by Stoney Ground (10.8%) and North Side (10.7%) were the districts that used their cisterns, piped into dwellings as the main source of water supply.

Cisterns piped into dwellings seemed to be least used in the districts of Sandy Ground (1.3%) and North Hill (3.3%).

Table 19 - Main Source of Water Supply to Households, by District, 2011

District	Source of Household Water								Total
	Cistern, piped into dwelling	Cistern, not piped into dwelling	Public, piped into dwelling	Public, piped into yard	Public stand pipe	Public well /tank	Bottled water	Not Stated & Other	
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	240	32	30	12	0	1	1	13	329
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	427	81	77	16	2	3	2	21	629
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	225	3	57	6	0	2	1	3	297
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	47	6	24	0	3	2	0	8	90
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	120	0	18	0	0	0	0	4	142
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	339	4	59	2	0	2	0	3	409
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	335	32	102	16	3	1	1	6	496
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	386	30	96	4	0	2	2	18	538
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	240	30	111	8	0	5	2	19	415
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	390	24	68	13	1	3	1	28	528
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	201	4	22	2	0	4	0	5	238
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	187	14	35	3	1	1	1	3	245
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	174	4	31	1	2	3	1	7	223
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	294	20	27	3	0	4	0	8	356
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,605</b>	<b>284</b>	<b>757</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>146</b>	<b>4,935</b>

## Drinking Water Supply

Table 20 - Source of Drinking Water Supply, 2011

Main water supply	2011	
	No. of Housing Units	Proportion of Total
Cistern, piped into dwelling	1,602	32.4%
Cistern, not piped into dwelling	172	3.5%
Public, piped into dwelling	79	1.6%
Public, piped into yard	13	0.3%
Public stand pipe	3	0.1%
Public well /tank	50	1.0%
Bottled water	2,994	60.7%
Other	10	2.0%
Not Stated	12	0.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

The majority, 60.7% of the householders indicated that their main source of drinking water was through the use of bottled water.

The 'cistern, piped into dwelling' was the next main choice for householders to source their drinking water; just under a third (32.4%) 1,602 households indicated that this was their main source of drinking water.

Six and a half percent (6.5%) of the households indicated that they sourced their drinking water from either the 'cistern, not piped into dwelling', 'public, piped into dwelling', 'public, piped into yard', public stand pipe' and public well/tank.

'Other' sources of drinking water reported by householders included osmosis and filtration. This accounted for 2.0% of the households enumerated.



## Energy Supply for Households

The access to energy or lack thereof within a household around the world impact health and welfare outcomes usually retarding or inhibiting development.

### Energy in Household

In Anguilla, electricity was the main source of lighting, with 97.8% of the household in 2011 indicating that their type of lighting was electricity via the single public supplier; Anguilla Electricity Company (ANGLEC), while 0.2% indicated that their supply was from private means. This is a total of 98.0% indicating electricity as their main source.

A comparison of this figure with its corresponding figures from the 1992 and 2001 censuses showed that over the years there was an increase by households to use electricity as their main source of energy; with 96.7% and 90.4% respectively.

The percentage of households that indicated that they did not have access to any form of lighting fell by 26.1%; by 6 households from 23 to 17 in 2001 and 2011 respectively.

The use of kerosene/gas as a source of lighting dropped from 2.5% to 0.8% over the 2001 figure; with the absolute figures being from 95 household in 2001 indicating that their main source of lighting was kerosene to 38 indicating likewise in 2011.

The other/not stated category also registered a decline of 63.9% from its 2001 figure.

Table 21 - Household Source of Lighting, 2001 & 2011

Main Source of Lighting	2001		2011		Change 2011/2001	
	No. of Housing Units	Proportion of Total (%)	No. of Housing Units	Proportion of Total (%)	Absolute change	% change
Electricity (Private& Public)	3,490	93.6	4,836	98.0	1,346	38.6
Gas/Kerosene	95	2.5	38	0.8	-57	-60.0
None	23	0.6	17	0.3	-6	-26.1
Other/Not Stated	122	3.3	44	0.9	-78	-63.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,730</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1,205</b>	<b>32.3</b>

With 98.0% of all the households in Anguilla using electricity as their main source of energy, five (5) districts registered percentages in this area below the national rate and nine (9) registered percentages above the national rate.

The district of George Hill registered the highest (99.3%) proportion of households with electricity as their main source of energy followed by The Valley (99.0%), The Farrington (98.7%), Island Harbour (98.6%), North Hill (98.6%), West End (98.2%), The

Quarter (98.1%) and Blowing Point and Sandy Hill with 98.0%.

Stoney Ground was the district with the lowest percentage (97.0%) of the households residing therein who used electricity as their main source of energy.

The remaining four (4) districts all had percentages over 97.0%; Sandy Ground and East End (97.8%), South Hill (97.6%) and North Side (97.0%).

The district of South Hill was the district accounting for the largest proportion (12.7%) of households indicating that their main source of energy was electricity.

The district of North Side, Stoney Ground and The Valley all accounted for over 10.0% to the total

number of household using electricity as their main source of energy, with 10.8%, 10.5% and 10.2% respectively.

The remaining districts all contributed less than 10.0% to the total.

Table 22 - Households by Main Lighting, by District, 2011

District	Source of Household Water				Total
	Electricity Public/Private	Gas/Kerosene	None	Other/Not Stated	
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	323	1	3	2	329
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	614	8	2	5	629
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	291	3	0	3	297
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	88	0	0	2	90
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	140	0	0	2	142
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	406	1	1	1	409
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	491	0	1	4	496
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	522	10	1	5	538
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	407	1	6	1	415
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	510	6	2	10	528
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	235	0	1	2	238
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	240	4	0	1	245
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	218	3	0	2	223
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	351	1	0	4	356
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,836</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>4,935</b>

## Cooking Fuel in Household

In Anguilla gas/LPG is the most used source of cooking fuel with 96.6% of the households indicating that this source was most used; an increase of 3.6 percentage points from 93.0% recorded in 2001.

Electricity was the second most stated form of fuel accounting for 1.4% of the number of households, unseating kerosene from its second position in 2001,

which in 2011 recorded only one person indicating it was their most used source of cooking fuel.

A number of households, 33, indicated they used an 'other' source outside of the listing provided, however, of this number 24 households indicated that they had no source of cooking fuel.

**Table 23 - Households Main Source of Cooking Fuel, 2001 & 2011**

Source of Cooking Fuel	2001		2011		Change 2011/2001	
	No. of Housing Units	Proportion of Total (%)	No. of Housing Units	Proportion of Total (%)	Absolute change	% change
Gas/LPG	3,468	93.0	4,765	96.6	1,297	37.4
Coal/Wood	51	1.4	28	0.6	-23	-45.1
Kerosene	3	0.1	1	0.0	-2	-66.7
Electricity	45	1.2	70	1.4	25	55.6
Other	35	0.9	33	0.7	-2	-5.7
Not Stated	128	3.4	38	0.8	-90	-70.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>3,730</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>1,205</b>	<b>32.3</b>

**Table 24 - Households Main Source of Cooking Fuel, by District, 2011**

District	Source of Cooking Fuel						Total
	Gas/LPG	Coal/Wood	Kerosene	Electricity	Other	Not Stated	
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	319	3	0	4	3	0	329
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	598	2	0	19	4	6	629
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	285	2	0	5	2	3	297
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	85	2	0	0	2	1	90
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	138	1	0	2	0	1	142
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	400	1	0	7	1	0	409
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	480	1	0	4	4	7	496
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	528	4	0	1	2	3	538
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	399	2	1	5	5	3	415
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	506	6	0	6	5	5	528
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	227	0	0	6	1	4	238
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	235	2	0	5	1	2	245
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	219	0	0	1	3	0	223
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	346	2	0	5	0	3	356
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,765</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>4,935</b>

## Number of Rooms

There were two (2) questions relating to rooms presented in the AP&H Census 2011; one about bedrooms and the other about the total number of rooms.

In Anguilla the majority (19.6%) of the dwellings have four (4) rooms. Most (32.7%) of the dwellings were recorded as having two bedrooms. There was an average of 4.75 rooms per household.

The average number of bedrooms per household in Anguilla was 2.63.

Under half (2,364) of the household had 5 or more rooms in the dwelling, while 2,260 of the households had 3 or more bedrooms.

In 2011, the occupancy rate for Anguilla was 2.75 persons per household.

### What is a room?

A space in a housing unit or living quarter enclosed by walls reaching from the floor to the ceiling or roof covering or to the height of at least (2) metres of an area large enough to hold a bed for an adult.

Table 25 - Number of Rooms to Number of Bedrooms, 2011

		Number of Bedrooms										Total	
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Not Stated		
No. of Rooms	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	2	0	749	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	749
	3	0	243	599	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	842
	4	0	47	707	214	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	968
	5	0	749	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	945
	6	0	4	50	412	216	18	0	0	0	0	0	700
	7	0	1	11	144	154	42	4	0	0	0	0	356
	8	0	0	3	46	69	43	14	1	0	0	0	176
	9	0	0	1	15	25	19	15	4	0	0	0	79
	10 & over	0	0	0	9	29	24	29	9	8	0	0	108
	Not Stated	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	8
	Total	4	1,050	1,613	1,489	541	146	62	14	8	8	8	4,935

## Characteristics of Rooms

### Kitchen

There were 50 households who did not state the location of the kitchen facilities and 40 who indicated that their kitchen was located outdoors. The majority of household indicated that their kitchen was located

indoors. Most kitchens in Anguilla are not shared; 95.1% of the household do not share their kitchen with another household. There were 130 households from which data on this area was not forthcoming.

**Table 26 - Location of Kitchen Facilities, 2011**

Location	Households	
	No.	%
Indoors	4,845	98.2
Outdoors	40	0.8
Not stated	50	1.0
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Table 27 - Type of Kitchen Facilities, 2011**

Location	Households	
	No.	%
Shared	114	2.3
Not shared	4,691	95.1
Not stated	130	2.6
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Table 28 - Location of Kitchen Facilities, by Type of Dwelling, 2011**

Type of dwelling	Location			Total
	Indoors	Outdoors	Not Stated	
Undivided private house	2,988	26	23	3,037
Part of private house	210	3	4	217
Duplex apartment	584	3	7	594
Flat/ apartment	947	6	13	966
Combined dwelling & business	110	0	2	112
Other & Not Stated	6	2	1	9
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,845</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>4,935</b>

### Toilet Facilities

The number of flushed toilets accounted for 97.7% of the total toilet facilities in 2011 as compared to 93.3% in 2001 and 81.8% in 1992 excluding those households who did not respond.

North Hill and George Hill were the districts that 100% of the households indicated their main toilet facility was a flushed toilet.

There were 44 households indicating that their main toilet facility was a pit latrine, 38 indicating they had no toilet facilities. This is reduced in both cases when

compared to the 2001 results; 144 and 78 households respectively.

Most of these were once again in the Stoney Ground, South Hill and North Side districts.

Ten (10) households did not provide the information when requested to do so.

The majority of households (99.1%) responded to the question on sharing of toilet facilities. Of this amount 2.4% indicated that their household shared their toilet facilities.

Table 29 - Toilet Facilities to Households, by District, 2011

District	Type of Toilet Facilities						Total			
	Flushed Toilet	Pit Latrine	Flushed Toilet Outside	Other	None	Not Stated	2011	2001	Absolute Change	% Change
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	315	4	5	3	2	0	329	240	89	37.1%
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	613	8	3	1	3	1	629	484	145	30.0%
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	289	1	1	0	4	2	297	238	59	24.8%
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	84	4	0	0	2	0	90	100	-10	-10.0%
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	141	0	1	0	0	0	142	144	-2	-1.4%
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	408	0	1	0	0	0	409	275	134	48.7%
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	484	4	2	4	1	1	496	381	115	30.2%
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	522	7	3	3	3	0	538	383	155	40.5%
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	403	0	5	2	5	0	415	316	99	31.3%
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	502	7	4	3	11	1	528	347	181	52.2%
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	234	1	0	2	1	0	238	171	67	39.2%
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	235	6	0	2	1	1	245	193	52	26.9%
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	216	1	1	1	3	1	223	186	37	19.9%
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	349	1	1	0	2	3	356	272	84	30.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,795</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>3,730</b>	<b>1,205</b>	<b>32.3%</b>
<b>Percentage Distribution</b>	<b>97.2%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>				

Table 30 - Type Household Toilet Facilities, 2011

Type of toilet facilities	Households	
	No.	%
Shared	117	2.4
Not shared	4,775	98.8
Not stated	43	0.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100.0</b>

### Bathing Facilities

There were 120 households that indicated their bathing facilities were shared with another household and 142 who indicated that their bathing facilities were outdoors.

Of the 120 households who indicated that they shared bathroom facilities, 21 of these households shared bathroom facilities that were outdoors.

Table 31 - Location of Bathing Facilities, by Type of Facility, 2011

Location of Bathing Facilities	Type of Bathing Facilities			Total
	Shared	Not shared	Not stated	
Indoors	97	4,607	27	4,731
Outdoors	21	117	4	142
Not stated	2	46	14	62
<b>Total</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>4,770</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>4,935</b>

**Table 32 - Location of Bathing Facilities, 2001 & 2011**

Location of Bathing Facilities	Households 2011		2001
	No.	%	%
Indoors	4,731	95.9	93.8
Outdoors	142	2.9	4.8
Not stated	62	1.3	1.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>

**Table 33 - Location of Bathing Facilities, by District, 2011**

District	Location of Bathing Facilities			Total
	Indoors	Outdoors	Not Stated	
West End (EDs: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)	95.4%	4.6%	0.0%	100.0%
South Hill (EDs: 7, 8, 9, 10, 16, 17)	95.1%	4.1%	0.8%	100.0%
Blowing Point (EDs: 12,13,14,15)	98.0%	1.0%	1.0%	100.0%
Sandy Ground (EDs: 11, 20)	85.6%	12.2%	2.2%	100.0%
North Hill (EDs: 21, 22)	95.8%	0.7%	3.5%	100.0%
George Hill (EDs: 18, 19, 23, 25)	97.8%	0.7%	1.5%	100.0%
The Valley (EDs: 24, 32, 33, 34)	96.4%	2.6%	1.0%	100.0%
North Side (EDs: 35, 36, 37, 38)	97.2%	1.7%	1.1%	100.0%
The Quarter (EDs: 26,27,28,29,30)	98.3%	1.4%	0.2%	100.0%
Stoney Ground (EDs: 31,39,40,41,42)	92.4%	5.7%	1.9%	100.0%
The Farrington (EDs: 46, 47)	96.6%	0.8%	2.5%	100.0%
Sandy Hill (EDs: 44, 45, 48, 49)	95.5%	4.1%	0.4%	100.0%
East End (EDs: 43, 50)	96.0%	2.2%	1.8%	100.0%
Island Harbour (EDs: 51, 52, 53, 54)	95.5%	2.2%	2.2%	100.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>95.9%</b>	<b>2.9%</b>	<b>1.3%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### Garbage Disposal

The majority (99.2%) of households in Anguilla indicated that their main method of garbage disposal was the dumpster/bin/garbage collection. This was a 0.3% increase over the 2001 figure.

Eleven (11) households indicated that they burned their garbage; five (5) households indicated that they dumped the garbage on land and one (1) household used composting.

**Table 34 - Method of Garbage Disposal, 2011**

Method of Garbage Disposal	Households	
	No.	%
Dumpster/bin/garbage disposal	4,896	99.2
Dumping on land	5	0.1
Burning	11	0.2
Composting	1	0.0
Not Stated	22	0.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100.0</b>

## Ownership of Consumer Durables

Table 35 - Percentage of Household with Selected Durables, 1992, 2001 & 2011

Durable Goods	Percentage of Household		
	2011	2001	1992
Stereo/Radio	80.6%	90.2%	*
Televisions CRT	80.1%	88.6%	80.2%
Flat Panel/Screen TV	29.9%	*	*
Landline Telephones	41.4%	27.1%	*
Cellular Phones	82.7%	31.2%	*
Desktop Computers	34.5%	27.1%	*
Laptop Computers	45.1%	*	*
Air Conditioning Units	25.6%	6.5%	37.5%
Clothing Dryers*	23.1%	*	*
Dishwasher*	5.5%	*	*
DVD Player*	54.0%	*	*
Freezer	23.3%	*	*
Generator	7.7%	10.1%	*
Game Console	16.5%	*	*
Refrigerator	95.4%	93.9%	83.0%
Microwave	66.4%	35.8%	*
Satellite Dish*	4.4%	*	*
Solar Panels	3.1%	3.6%	5.3%
Stove	96.4%	96.9%	*
Washing Machine	83.6%	76.0%	57.3%
Water Pump	67.8%	57.8%	37.5%
Water Heater	38.5%	30.6%	15.9%
Video Cassette Recorder	10.8%	47.0%	*
Cable Services	78.6%	81.0%	*

\* - Data for such durables was not collected.

The variety and quantity of the ownership of household durables increased when compared with the previous censuses. Some of these items included, cellphones (82.7%) of households compared to 31.2% of households in 2001, desktop computers (34.5%), air conditioning units (25.6%), refrigerators (95.4%), microwaves (66.4%), washing machines (83.6%), water pumps 67.8% and water heaters (38.5%).

The ownership of some durables declined naturally given their obsolescence, the changing pace of technology or some other reason. Some of these durables included stereos (80.6%), televisions CRT

(80.1%), generators (7.7%), solar panels (3.1%), video cassette recorders (10.85) and cable services (78.6%).

With the introduction of new technology and the availability of 'new' durables, data on the ownership of some other durables was collected from households.

These 'new' variables and the level of household ownership included flat panel/screen TV (29.9%), laptop computer (45.1%), clothing dryer (23.1%), dishwasher (5.5%), DVD player (54.0%) and freezers (23.3%).



## Vehicle Ownership

Vehicle ownership and availability allows occupants of a household to freely travel around. As there is no public transportation other than taxis in Anguilla, access to a vehicle in each household is considered critical.

Cars were the most popular owned form of motor vehicle kept and used by households for private use. There were 3,313 cars owned by households; 54.9% of the vehicles were cars. Some households; 535, kept and used more than one car.

Sports Utility Vehicle (SUVs)/Jeeps were the next most popular vehicle kept and used by households within Anguilla.

Over one thousand; 1,424 households indicated that they kept and used SUVs/Jeeps.

Of the 4,935 households in Anguilla 1,051 did not indicate they kept and used a vehicle.

**Table 36 - Number and type of Vehicles, Owned by Households, 2011**

Number of Vehicles	Percentage of Household					
	Car	Motorcycle	Van/truck/lorry	Pick-up truck	SUV/Jeep	Other
0	2,275	4,894	4,674	4,324	3,511	4,910
1	2,125	35	243	572	1,185	20
2	448	5	14	38	204	4
3	64	1	2	1	30	1
4	20	0	2	0	4	0
5 or more	3	0	0	0	1	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>4,935</b>
<b>Total number of vehicles</b>	<b>3,313</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>285</b>	<b>651</b>	<b>1,705</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>% of total vehicles</b>	<b>54.9</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>10.8</b>	<b>28.3</b>	<b>0.5</b>

**Number of Households without a motor vehicle: 1,051**

## Household Internet Access

The internet is a world-wide public computer network. It provides access to a number of communication services including the World Wide Web and carries email, news, entertainment and data files, irrespective of the device used. Access can be via a fixed or mobile network. (ITU Manual for measuring access and use of information and communication technologies by household and individuals - Edition 2014).

**Table 37 - Access to Internet, by Household, 2011**

Access	Households	
	No.	%
Have access	2,753	55.8
Does not have access	2,062	41.8
Not stated	120	2.4
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,935</b>	<b>100.0</b>

Access to the internet in Anguilla by households was measured at 55.8%. Conversely, 2,062 households indicated that they did not have access. There was a 2.4% non-response to this question.

## References

ITU (2014), Manual for Measuring ICT Access and Use by Households and Individuals

Green Building Advisor, <http://www.greenbuildingadvisor.com/green-basics/structure-exterior-walls>

Rockford Homes, <http://www.rockfordhomes.net/roof-materials/>

Home Guides, <http://homeguides.sfgate.com/pros-cons-flat-vs-pitched-roofing-6931.html>

United Nations (2008), Principles and Recommendations for Population and Housing Censuses – Rev 2.

# Anguilla

## THE FABRIC OF OUR HOUSEHOLDS

ANGUILLA POPULATION AND HOUSING CENSUS 2011

SELECTED HOUSING & HOUSEHOLD INDICATORS – ANALYTICAL BRIEF



Anguilla Statistics Department

Ministry of Finance, Economic Development, Investment Commerce and Tourism

Old Court House Building

P. O. Box 60

The Valley

ANGUILLA, B.W.I

Tele: 1-264-497-2451 Ext 2871

Fax: 1-264-4973986

[statistics@gov.ai](mailto:statistics@gov.ai)

[www.gov.ai/statistics](http://www.gov.ai/statistics)