



**STATISTICS**

**INTER SECRETARIAT  
WORKING GROUP ON  
NATIONAL ACCOUNTS**

# **Impact of Fintech on Macroeconomic Statistics (Guidance Note F.7)**

International Workshop on the Update of System of  
National Accounts, 2008  
September 6-8, 2022

# Outline

- **Background**
- **Fintech in the building blocks of statistical methodology**
  - Institutional Units and Sectors
  - Residence
  - Financial instruments and service
- **Recommendations of the GN**
- **Outcomes of the Global Consultation**
- **Current Status of the GN**

## Background

- The GN discusses the impact of fintech on macroeconomic statistics based on the Financial Stability Board (FSB) definition of fintech:
  - ▶ ***“technology-enabled innovation in financial services that could result in new business models, applications, processes, or products with an associated material effect on the provision of financial services.”***
- The conceptual frameworks of the 2008 SNA and the BPM6:
  - ▶ implicitly cover and provide classification principles for many of the financial innovations introduced through fintech during the last decade.
  - ▶ does not provide explicit guidance for compilers to sectorize fintech companies and record fintech-related transactions and positions.
- Need to consider the new developments to properly capture them in financial and macroeconomic statistics.
- Ensure consistency and coordination with other GNs related to fintech

# Outline

- **Background**
- **Fintech in the building blocks of statistical methodology**
  - Institutional Units and Sectors
  - Residence
  - Financial instruments and service
- **Recommendations of the GN**
- **Outcomes of the Global Consultation**
- **Current Status of the GN**

# Fintech in the building blocks of statistical methodology

## Institutional Units and Sectors

- Fintech companies should be classified within the institutional sectors/subsectors corresponding to the nature of their activities (Mostly other financial corporations (OFCs) sector but also nonfinancial corporations sector (NFCs))
- Introducing an additional sub-sector for “fintech companies” in the updated manuals would not be feasible.
- A voluntary “of which” category could be introduced as relevant.
- The outcomes of the work of the TT on International Standard Industrial Classification will need to be considered.

# Fintech in the building blocks of statistical methodology

## Residence

- The concept of residence is unaffected by fintech, but new technologies may bring additional compilation challenges.
- These may be discussed in the compilation guides that will accompany the next editions of the BPM and SNA.

# Fintech in the building blocks of statistical methodology

## Examples of Fintech Services

### ▪ Payment Services Enabled by Fintech

- E-money, digital currencies and other crypto assets.
- Crypto assets are dealt with in a separate GN.
- E-money is defined in the MFSMCG as **a payment instrument whereby monetary value is electronically stored on a physical device or remotely at a server and represents a claim on the issuer.**
- According to the MFSMCG, e-money, including when used for cross-border payments, is classified as “deposits” rather than currency. E-money that meets the definition of broad money should be included in broad money within transferable deposits.
- The GN recommends that the next edition of the SNA and BPM include explicit guidance on the treatment of “e-money” including “mobile money” consistent with the MFSMCG.

# Fintech in the building blocks of statistical methodology

## Examples of Fintech Services

### ▪ Lending

- Within the 2008 SNA and the BPM6, all sectors can be potential providers of loans, including households.
- Platforms that facilitate P2P lending (e.g., DeFi), but do not take ownership, would, a priori, appear to be financial auxiliaries.
- As with other fintech functions, an explicit reference to this activity in the updated SNA and BPM would be useful.



# Fintech in the building blocks of statistical methodology

## Examples of Fintech Services

### ▪ Insurance

- InsurTech activities are already implicitly covered by the existing conceptual statistical framework, but it could be useful to include a brief section on “insurance-related fintech activities” in the updated SNA and BPM.
- InsurTech does not require a new sectoral classification beyond insurance companies which are currently classified under the other financial corporations’ sector.

# Fintech in the building blocks of statistical methodology

## Examples of Fintech Services

### ▪ Other Financial Services

- Other financial services that are being enabled by fintech (i.e., capital raising, investment management, and market provisioning) do not require amendments in the statistical methodology.
- Big tech companies may also be providing financial services enabled by technologies. In these cases, they are classified as NFCs, according to their main business characteristics.

# Outline

- **Background**
- **Fintech in the building blocks of statistical methodology**
  - Institutional Units and Sectors
  - Residence
  - Financial instruments and service
- **Recommendations of the GN**
- **Outcomes of the Global Consultation**
- **Current Status of the GN**

## Recommendations of the GN

### ▪ Recommendation 1:

- *Fintech companies should be classified within the existing institutional sectors/subsectors depending on the economic objectives, functions, and behavior (see BPM6, paragraph 4.57 and subsection 3 in Chapter 4 of the 2008 SNA) without introducing a new sector “Fintech”. Depending on the results of the discussion by the TT-ISIC, institutional sector breakdowns for fintech companies in the BPM and SNA could be revisited.*

### ▪ Recommendation 2:

- *Depending on their statistical and analytical needs, countries with significant fintech activities could consider introducing an “of which” category from an institutional perspective (i.e., which institutions may be considered as fintech companies). “Of which” categories could be introduced at the sector level (e.g., other sectors in ESS) or the sub-sector level (e.g., other financial corporations) reflecting specific needs of the country. However, the compilers should be aware that such “of which” categories could become irrelevant in some years, given the fast developments in technologies (e.g., fintech today could become a traditional way to provide services tomorrow).*

## Recommendations of the GN

### ▪ Recommendation 3:

- *Financial instruments and services provided by fintech should be classified in the existing categories of the macroeconomic statistics (e.g., deposits, financial services) without introducing new financial instruments or services categories. New manuals and guides should elaborate on the description and the statistical recording of items that require clarification (e.g., insurance-related fintech activities, peer-to-peer lending, other fintech enabled activities such as capital raising through crowdfunding).*

### ▪ Recommendation 4:

- *The new edition of BPM and SNA should include explicit guidance on “e money” including “mobile money” consistent with the MFSMCG to indicate that e-money that can be used for direct payments to third parties, including when used for cross-border payments, is to be classified as transferable deposits.*

## Recommendations of the GN

- **Recommendation 5:**

- *Compilation guides for the next edition of BPM and SNA should address compilation issues related to fintech. Compilation guides can also use the recommendations of other GNs (e.g., the GNs by the DZTT on digital products/services) to provide guidance to compilers.*

# Outline

- **Background**
- **Fintech in the building blocks of statistical methodology**
  - Institutional Units and Sectors
  - Residence
  - Financial instruments and service
- **Recommendations of the GN**
- **Outcomes of the Global Consultation**
- **Current Status of the GN**

## Outcomes of the Global Consultation

- There was wide support for
  - classifying Fintech companies within the existing institutional sectors/subsectors rather than introducing a new “Fintech” sector (91 percent). (Recommendation 1),
  - introducing a voluntary “of-which” category reflecting specific needs of the country (82 percent) (Recommendation 2),
  - classifying the financial instruments and services provided by fintech should be in the existing categories of the macroeconomic statistics (84 percent) (Recommendation 3),
  - the proposed classification of “e-money” including “mobile money” as transferable deposits consistent with the MFSMCG (80 percent) (Recommendation 4),
- There was almost unanimous support for
  - Addressing the compilation issues in the compilations guides that will accompany the next versions of the BPM and SNA. (Recommendation 5).



# Outline

- **Background**
- **Fintech in the building blocks of statistical methodology**
  - Institutional Units and Sectors
  - Residence
  - Financial instruments and service
- **Recommendations of the GN**
- **Outcomes of the Global Consultation**
- **Current Status of the GN**

## Current Status of the GN

- The recommendations were approved by both the Committee on Balance of Payments Statistics (BOPCOM) and the Advisory Experts Group (AEG) and the final GNs are posted on the respective websites:
  - <https://www.imf.org/en/Data/Statistics/BPM/approved-guidance-notes>
  - <https://unstats.un.org/unsd/nationalaccount/SNAUpdate/GuidanceNotes.asp>
- No testing is foreseen for this GN.