

sna/NY/UNO
Sent by: Annette Becker

03/11/2008 05:02 AM

To <Charles.ASPDEN@oecd.org>@UN-MAILHUB
cc
bcc
Subject Re: Comments on chapter 24

<Charles.ASPDEN@oecd.org>



<Charles.ASPDEN@oecd.org
>

03/11/2008 05:02 AM

To <sna@un.org>
cc <Nadim.AHMAD@oecd.org>
Subject Comments on chapter 24

24.1 bullet point (a). Include a 'can' after the first reference to households. Households can gain income through non-labour means too, indeed this is recognised in (b). Remove the reference to 'entrepreneurial skills'. This is too wooly to be included here. Moreover, we've (the OECD) recently defined entrepreneurship as explicitly recognising that employees can be entrepreneurial (but they're not entrepreneurs). In any case, the reference to 'entrepreneurial skill' adds nothing to (a) or (b).

bullet point (b) 'fixed capital' not 'foxed capital' see also above.

24.10 Replace 'amount' in the second sentence with 'proportion'

24.19 It might also be useful to introduce similar caveats to this proposal as those added to paragraph 24.16.

24.27 Maintenance is not the only source of IC. Insurance payments should be included and FISIM (which is even mentioned later in the chapter).

24.29 Change to " When major repairs are undertaken on own-account by the household these are treated as...."

24.20 Last sentence: Replace " that will be..." with " that is typically..." (Never more relevant than

now!)

Section E - Would it be useful to say a few words on disposable and adjusted disposable income here?

Charlie