

**Comments on draft SNA chapter:
Chapter 4: Institutional units and sectors**

**Deadline for comments: 1 September 2007
Send comments to: sna@un.org**

Your name:	Jiri PELEJ
Your country/organization:	Czech Statistical Office
Contact (e.g. email address):	jiri.pelej@czso.cz
Submission date:	31 August 2007

This three-part template allows you to record your comments on draft chapter 4 easily and, at the same time, makes it easy for us to use your comments in considering revisions to the draft chapter. You may complete any one, any two, or all of the three parts of the template.

Especially when providing comments in Part III of the template, you are encouraged to focus on the new passages of the draft text. To facilitate this process, a file comparing the existing text and the draft text is available on the website under the following link: <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/sna1993/projectmanagement/drafts/Chapter4dv2cdv0.pdf>

Save this template and send it as an attachment to the following e-mail address: sna@un.org

Part I: General comments

In the space below, please provide any general comments, such as about the clarity with which the new recommendations were incorporated (30 words or less).

Comment:

<p>In 4.1, some reference transactions not included in institutional sectors (taxes on products and subsidies on products) could be added.</p> <p>In 4.3 or 4.6 could be explained what a social entity is (opposed to a legal entity).</p> <p>In 4.27, the exception could be extended on NPIs engaged in the market production of goods and services or promoting and serving the interest of enterprises (see 4.24 and 4.25).</p>
--

Part II: Comments on specific draft paragraphs or passages

In your review of draft chapter 4, you may wish to devote particular attention to the passage listed below. For ease of reference, we have identified the relevant paragraphs.

Please use the space provided to the right of the paragraph number to make your comment.

1. Section A, paragraphs 4.10 – 4.12:

The definition of ‘residence’ was slightly changed in the 1993 SNA Rev.1 by introducing ‘predominant’ before “economic interest.” Because residence is principally a BOP term, the text in paragraphs 4.10 – 4.12 has been taken from *BPM* 6. Do you consider the definition appropriately described? Is it clear to a national accountant?

4.10	Perhaps some reference should be added to multinacional corporations
4.11	We agree with somewhat arbitrary specified period of one year of location as one of criteria for residence. Could be found a similar quantification of significance of economic activities and transactions?
4.12	Click here and start typing.

2. Section A, paragraph 4.20:

A decision tree allocating units to institutional sectors and sub-sectors has been added as figure 4.1. It is first referred to in paragraph 4.21 to the 1993 SNA Rev.1. Do you think it is useful?

4.21	Yes. In the figure 4.1 in the question “Does it produce financial services?” we recommend to add “mainly”.
------	--

3. Section B, paragraphs 4.52 – 4.54:

The expression ‘ancillary corporation’ in the 1993 SNA did not fit neatly with the discussion on ancillary activities discussed in draft chapter 5 of the 1993 SNA Rev.1. Therefore the term ‘artificial subsidiary’ has been introduced. Do you agree with this new terminology?

4.52	Click here and start typing.
4.53	Click here and start typing.
4.54	We can agree with the term ‘artificial subsidiary’ but we failed to find the term ‘artificial subsidiary’ in draft chapter 5 of the 1993 SNA Rev.1.

4. Section B, paragraphs 4.64 – 4.72 and section C, paragraphs 4.82 – 4.83

Material from draft chapter 21 (public sector) of the 1993 SNA Rev.1 on control of corporations and of NPIs by government has been brought together. Do you consider this useful?

4.64	yes
4.65	yes
4.66	yes
4.67	yes
4.68	We do not know what the public trustee is.
4.69	yes
4.70	yes
4.71	yes
4.72	In the last sentence, insert “investment” between “direct” and “enterprise”.
4.73	Can be more specified what “other financial gain „ is?
4.82	yes
4.83	yes

5. Section D, paragraphs 4.89 – 4.90:

NPIs are distinguished as a sub-sector of the non-financial corporate sector in the 1993 SNA Rev. 1. Other units in the sector have been labeled ‘For Profit Institutions’ (FPIs). Do you agree with the new terminology introduced in paragraph 4.89? Do you agree with the full sub-sectoring introduced in paragraph 4.90 and shown in table 4.1?

4.89	In the third sentence, we recommend replacing the words “these“ by „units other than NPIs“.
4.90	Yes. If the number of units were so small that confidentiality would be endangered, at least the first column and the last row of Table 4.1 could be published.

6. Section E, paragraph 4.94:

The new sub-sectoring of the financial corporations sector, including again by NPIs and FPIs, has been introduced in paragraph 4.94. Do you agree with the new classification?

4.94	Yes, similarly as for 4.90.
------	-----------------------------

7. Section F, paragraphs 4.118 – 4.119:

Do you consider the clarification of the role of social security funds in paragraphs 4.118 – 4.119 consistent with the GFSM? Paragraphs 4.118-4.119 set out the role of social security funds while trying to stay in line with text in the *GFSM* and draft chapter 21 of the 1993 SNA, Rev. 1. Is the text appropriate and clear?

4.118	Yes
4.119	

Part III. Other specific comments

You are welcome to make other comments. Please do so by using Adobe Acrobat Version 6 or 7 to comment directly on the PDF of the draft chapter.

If you don't have Adobe Acrobat Version 6 or 7 and would like to make detailed comments, please send a message to sna@un.org requesting a version of the draft chapter that permits you to comment. To optimize your commenting tools, please download Adobe Reader 7.0 for free from <http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html>