

**Comments on draft SNA chapter:
Chapter 6: The production account**

**Deadline for comments: 15 April 2007
Send comments to: sna@un.org**

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Your name: | Irena TvariJonaviciute |
| Your country/organization: | Lithuania/ Statistics Lithuania |
| Contact (e.g. email address): | Irena.tvarijonaviciute@stat.gov.lt |
| Submission date: | 13/04/2007 |

This three-part template allows you to record your comments on draft chapter 6 easily and, at the same time, makes it easy for us to use your comments in considering revisions to the draft chapter. You may complete any one, any two, or all of the three parts of the template.

Save this template and send it as an attachment to the following e-mail address:
sna@un.org

Part I: General comments

In the space below, please provide any general comments, such as about the clarity with which the new recommendations were incorporated (30 words or less).

Comment:

| |
|---|
| In general we support the update of this chapter. We only have problems due to the changes of output types and newly introduced the distinction between market and non-market production. |
|---|

Part II: Comments on specific draft paragraphs or passages

In your review of draft chapter 6, you may wish to devote particular attention to the passages listed below. There is space after each issue for any comment you wish to make.

1. In section B, the text extends the definition of services to cover margin services explicitly. Is this a useful extension? This section also is more precise about products

capturing knowledge, some of which have many of the characteristics of goods. Is this precision useful?

Comment:

It is useful.

Not clear the measurement described in the paragraph 6.35: “By convention, though, only the **ages** of domestic staff are treated as the value of output.”

2. Section D now discusses GDP as derived from the production account only. The expenditure based estimate and the relationship between this, the income based estimate of GDP and the production based measure are now discussed in chapter 14 after the components of the other estimates have been discussed in the accounts where they occur. Do you agree to this placement of the material on the alternative estimates of GDP?

Comment:

It is acceptable to describe the GDP derived from the production account in this chapter.

3. The AEG recommended that goods sent abroad for processing should be recorded without imputing a change of ownership when no change actually happened. They further recommended that the same principle should be adopted for processing of goods by another resident unit. Does the text in section E reflect this recommendation adequately?

Comment:

It is clear.

4. Section E introduces the recommended change in terminology for kinds of production. Market production covers production for sale (short-hand term that includes other deliveries also) and for own use; non-market production relates only to production by general government and NPISHs. Is the resulting text sufficiently clear?

Comment:

We feel more comfortable with a currently existing breakdown of three types of output: market, production for own final use and non-market production. Separate type of production for own final use more precise reflects the households production, which will never be sold.

5. The output of the central bank is described in a stand-alone subsection of section F. Is the resulting text sufficiently clear on the proposals for compiling and allocating monetary policy services and financial intermediation services provided by the central bank?

Comment:

It is clear.

6. In section F, new text has been provided for the financial services. Is this text accurate and clear? This part of the text has been extended to include margins as well as FISIM and insurance charges. Are there any comments on this extension? (Further material will be added when chapter 17 is posted).

Comment:

No comments.

7. Section G has a brief discussion of leasing, leaving the main discussion for chapter 17 on cross-cutting issues. Is the discussion here adequate in the context of chapter 6?

Comment:

It is adequate.

8. The discussion of consumption of fixed capital, in section H, is reduced from that in the 1993 SNA. The previous recommendations have been superseded; fuller discussion will appear in chapter 19 on capital services. Is the discussion in chapter 6 adequate in this content?

Comment:

It is acceptable.

Part III. Other specific comments

You are welcome to make other comments. Please do so by using Adobe Acrobat Version 6 or 7 to comments directly on the PDF of the draft chapter.

If you don't have Adobe Acrobat Version 6 or 7 and would like to make very detailed comments please send a message to sna@un.org requesting to receive a version of the draft chapter permitting you to comment. To optimize your commenting tools please download Adobe Reader 7.0 for free from <http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html>